

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,104	01/30/2004	Catalin D. Sandu	MSFT122167	9006	
26389 CHRISTENSE	7590 05/01/200 EN, O'CONNOR, JOHN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			HAILU, TESHOME		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2139			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal B	3rie:					

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/769,104	SANDU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TESHOME HAILU	2139		

	TESHOME HAILU	2139	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expraistion date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allonon-allowable claim(s). 7. March 2015 1.		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xpianation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argued that the art on record (Hayashi) fails to	teach "a normalization module that	t obtains an executab	le script and
generates a normalized signature for the executable scri discloses that, (column 8, line 1-9, the normalization coc signature processing unit for generating normalization signature.	de stream outputted from the norma gnature). Also applicant argued tha	alization processing until the prior art fails to t	nit is inputed to each the claim
limitation "comparing the normalized signature of the exe malware signature store to determine whethere the exec verification processe as. (column 4. line 30-35, verification	utable script is malware". Hayashi	disclosed this limitatio	n using the

compression-coded data). Examiner asserts that the art on record teaches that claim limitations as discussed int the final office

13. Other: _____.

action and therefore rejection is respectfully maintained. .

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No. /Kristine Kincaid/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2139

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080425